1	DEBRA A. VALENTINE General Counsel			~ ~
2	ANN F. WEINTRAUB			
3	THOMAS A. COHN Federal Trade Commission			
4	Northeast Region 1 Bowling Green, Suite 318		·	
5	New York, NY 10004 Telephone: (212) 607-2815			
6	Facsimile: (212) 607-2822	ا ۱	200 CLER CLER	7
7	JOHN JACOBS, CA Bar No. 134154 Federal Trade Commission		2000 FEB	
8	Western Region 10877 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 700		8 - 1 6. DIS 0'S AN	ř
9	Los Angeles, CA 90024 Telephone: (310) 824-4360 Facsimile: (310) 824-4380		PH TRIS	<u> </u>
11	·		PM 12: 43	
12	FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION		3 F.	
13	UNITED STATES I FOR THE CENTRAL DIS	DISTRICT COURT STRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
14		1		
15	FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION,	Civ. No.	Win	3-2
16	Plaintiff,	Civ. No. 00-01083 MJA		بكمنى
17	v.			
18	VAUGHN M. WILLIAMS, III, individually and d/b/a ENCORE	COMPLAINT FOR INJUNCTIVE AND OTHER EQUITABLE RELIEF		
19	NETWORKING SERVICES,			
20	JOHN S. DICKSON, a/k/a CHARLEY YATES,	·		
21	individually and d/b/a ENCORE NETWORKING SERVICES,			
22	and			
23	WARNER GREEN,			
24	individually, d/b/a WARNER COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEMS &			
25	COMPANY, and d/b/a ENCORE NETWORKING SERVICES,			
26	Defendants.			
27 H			I	

00/00 08.55 TAA 2120012022

Plaintiff, the Federal Trade Commission ("FTC" or "the Commission"), for its complaint alleges:

1. The FTC brings this action under Sections 5(a) and 13(b) of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. §§ 45(a) and 53(b), to obtain temporary, preliminary, and permanent injunctive relief, rescission of contracts, restitution, disgorgement, and other equitable relief for defendants' violations of Section 5(a) of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 45(a).

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 2. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331, 1337(a), and 1345, and 15 U.S.C. § 53(b). This action arises under 15 U.S.C. § 45(a)(1).
- 3. Venue in the United States District Court for the Central District of California is proper under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(b) and (c), and 15 U.S.C. § 53(b).

THE PARTIES

- 4. Plaintiff, the Federal Trade Commission, is an independent agency of the United States Government created by statute.

 15 U.S.C. § 41 et seg. The Commission is charged, inter alia, with enforcement of Section 5(a) of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 45(a), which prohibits unfair or deceptive acts or practices in or affecting commerce. The Commission is authorized to initiate federal district court proceedings, by its own attorneys, to enjoin violations of the FTC Act in order to secure such equitable relief as may be appropriate in each case, and to obtain consumer redress. 15 U.S.C. § 53(b).
- 5. Defendant Vaughn Williams, III ("Williams"), doing business as Encore Networking Services ("Encore"), with its principal

places of business at 2531 Sawtelle Boulevard, #236, Los Angeles, CA 90064, 12228 Venice Boulevard, #378, Los Angeles, CA 90066, 12476 Venice Boulevard, Los Angeles, CA 90066, and 830 Sonoma Boulevard, Vallejo, CA 94590, promotes and sells medical billing employment opportunities. Williams is an owner of Encore. At all times material to this complaint, acting alone or in concert with others, he has formulated, directed, controlled or participated in the acts and practices of the unincorporated business entity, including the acts and practices set forth in this complaint. He resides at 1420 West 87th Street, Los Angeles, CA 90047, and has transacted business in the Central District of California.

- 6. Defendant John S. Dickson, a/k/a Charley Yates ("Dickson"), doing business as Encore Networking Services, with its principal places of business at 2531 Sawtelle Boulevard, #236, Los Angeles, CA 90064, 12228 Venice Boulevard, #378, Los Angeles, CA 90066, 12476 Venice Boulevard, Los Angeles, CA 90066, and 830 Sonoma Boulevard, Vallejo, CA 94590, promotes and sells medical billing employment opportunities. Dickson is an owner of Encore. At all times material to this complaint, acting alone or in concert with others, he has formulated, directed, controlled or participated in the acts and practices of the unincorporated business entity, including the acts and practices set forth in this complaint. He resides at 16606 Covello Street, Van Nuys, CA 91406, or 4261 Tanager Common, Fremont, CA 94555, and has transacted business in the Central District of California.
- 7. Defendant Warner Green ("Green"), doing business as Warner Communication Systems & Company ("Warner") and as Encore

3

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Networking Services, with Warner's principal places of business at 12476 Venice Boulevard, Los Angeles, CA 90066, 5450 S. Slauson Avenue, #20, Culver City, CA 90230, 5855 S. Centinela Avenue, Culver City, CA 90230, and 6245 Bristol Parkway, #303, Culver City, CA 90230, and Encore's principal places of business at 2531 Sawtelle Boulevard, #236, Los Angeles, CA 90064, 12228 Venice Boulevard, #378, Los Angeles, CA 90066, 12476 Venice Boulevard, Los Angeles, CA 90066, and 830 Sonoma Boulevard, Vallejo, CA 94590, promotes and sells medical billing employment opportunities. Green is an owner of Warner, which is the predecessor company to Encore. At all times material to this complaint, acting alone or in concert with others, he has formulated, directed, controlled or participated in the acts and practices of the unincorporated business entities, including the acts and practices set forth in this complaint. He resides at 1613 S. Genesee Avenue, Los Angeles, CA 90019, and has transacted business in the Central District of California.

8. Encore and Warner are affiliated companies controlled in whole or in part by individual defendants Williams, Dickson, and Green. They share office space, employees, business forms, and telephone numbers, and cooperate and act in concert to carry out the defendants' business practices as alleged herein. They constitute a common enterprise for purposes of this proceeding.

COMMERCE

9. At all times relevant to this complaint, defendants have maintained a substantial course of trade in the offering for sale and sale of medical billing employment opportunities, in or

affecting commerce, as "commerce" is defined in Section 4 of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 44.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

DEFENDANTS' BUSINESS ACTIVITIES

- 10. Since at least October 1997, doing business as Warner Communication Systems & Company, and then since at least October 1998, doing business as Encore Networking Services, and continuing thereafter, defendants have engaged in a pattern of fraudulent and deceptive practices by offering and selling bogus medical billing employment opportunities to consumers. Defendants use pretext and deception to induce consumers into paying various sums of money to defendants. Consumers expect, in return, that they will receive numerous leads that will result in sizeable annual earnings. In fact, consumers are induced into paying to the defendants various sums of money and receive nothing of value in return. The defendants have promoted their medical billing employment opportunities to prospective purchasers in a variety of media, including classified advertisements in newspapers.
- 11. In their advertisements, defendants typically offer full or part-time medical billing jobs that will pay good salaries. The defendants' advertisements state that the consumer can work at home, doing medical claims processing, and urge consumers to call defendants' toll-free telephone number to learn more about the opportunity. For example, defendants' classified newspaper advertisements typically state:

Medical Ins. Biller FT or PT requires data entry exp. knowl of Windows, Gd sal & benefits. 914-961-7093 or

Administrative Assistant. F/T knowledge of Win-

dows req. Good starting

Must call for inter-

1-800-947-7166

salary.

view.

OB. SI TAA ZIZOUIZOZZ

12. Consumers who call the defendants' toll-free telephone number are ultimately connected to the defendants, or their employees or agents, who represent to consumers that in exchange for one or two payments, totaling \$195 to \$259, consumers will receive what they need to get started in medical billing, including: (1) the software necessary to do electronic billing for physicians in the consumer's local area, and (2) training and other assistance.

- 13. The defendants or their employees or agents also make representations over the telephone about the earnings potential of the medical billing employment opportunity. For example, the defendants or their employees or agents typically represent that consumers can process a certain number of claims for medical billing per week (generally between 100 and 500 claims per week) from physicians with whom the defendants have business arrangements. The defendants or their employees or agents claim that consumers will be paid at a rate of at least \$3.00 to \$5.00 per claim they process. Accordingly, the minimum income the defendants promise consumers is \$300 to \$2500 per week, or \$15,600 to \$130,000 per year.
- 14. The defendants or their employees or agents also make representations over the telephone about a 30-day refund policy with no other restrictions or conditions. For example, consumers

_ _

are told that the software comes with a 30-day money back guarantee, less \$10 deposit, if the consumer is not satisfied for any reason.

- 15. When consumers receive the medical billing package sold by defendants, it includes, inter alia, blank contracts and a list containing contact information for physicians allegedly in need of medical billing assistance. In numerous instances, when consumers contact the physicians on the list, they are told by the physicians that the physicians do not have any relationship or arrangements with the defendants and neither want nor need medical billing services.
- 16. As a result, few, if any, consumers who purchase the defendants' medical billing employment opportunity earn, or will earn, any income. In addition, few if any consumers receive, or will receive, a refund, since defendants impose additional refund conditions which are onerous or impossible to satisfy, such as recruiting a minimum number of physicians or including a return authorization number which defendants refuse to provide.

VIOLATIONS OF SECTION 5 OF THE FTC ACT

17. Section 5(a) of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 45(a), provides that "unfair or deceptive acts or practices in or affecting commerce are hereby declared unlawful."

COUNT I

18. In numerous instances in the course of offering for sale and selling their medical billing employment opportunities, defendants or their employees or agents have represented, expressly or by implication, that consumers who purchase

defendants' business venture will earn at least \$15,600.00 per year.

- 19. In truth and in fact, few, if any, consumers who purchase defendants' business venture earn, or will earn, at least \$15,600.00 per year.
- 20. Therefore, defendants' representation as set forth in Paragraph 18 is false and misleading and constitutes a deceptive act or practice in violation of Section 5(a) of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 45(a).

COUNT II

- 21. In numerous instances in the course of offering for sale and selling their medical billing employment opportunities, defendants or their employees or agents have represented, expressly or by implication, that they will arrange for consumers to receive medical billing work from physicians with whom the defendants have established relationships.
- 22. In truth and in fact, the defendants do not arrange for consumers to receive medical billing work from physicians with whom the defendants have established relationships.
- 23. Therefore, defendants' representation as set forth in Paragraph 21 is false and misleading and constitutes a deceptive act or practice in violation of Section 5(a) of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 45(a).

COUNT III

24. In numerous instances in the course of offering for sale and selling their medical billing employment opportunities, defendants have represented, expressly or by implication, that they will provide refunds to consumers who are unsatisfied with

the program within 30 days, upon request, without other restrictions or conditions.

- 25. In truth and in fact, in numerous instances, defendants have not provided refunds to consumers upon request, without other restrictions or conditions.
- 26. Therefore, defendants' representation as set forth in Paragraph 24 is false and misleading and constitutes a deceptive act or practice in violation of Section 5(a) of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 45.

CONSUMER INJURY

27. Consumers nationwide have suffered or will suffer substantial monetary loss as a result of defendants' violations of Section 5(a) of the FTC Act. Absent injunctive relief by this Court, defendants are likely to continue to injure consumers and harm the public interest.

THIS COURT'S POWER TO GRANT RELIEF

- 28. Section 13(b) of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 53(b), empowers this Court to grant injunctive and other ancillary relief, including consumer redress, disgorgement and restitution, to prevent and remedy any violations of any provision of law enforced by the Federal Trade Commission.
- 29. This Court, in the exercise of its equitable jurisdiction, may award ancillary relief to remedy injury caused by the defendants' law violations.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, plaintiff requests that this Court, as authorized by Section 13(b) of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 53(b), and pursuant to its own equitable powers:

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

- Award plaintiff such preliminary injunctive and ancillary relief, including a temporary restraining order, as may be necessary to avert the likelihood of consumer injury during the pendency of this action and to preserve the possibility of effective final relief;
- 2. Permanently enjoin the defendants from violating the FTC Act, as alleged herein;
- 3. Award such relief as the Court finds necessary to redress injury to consumers resulting from the defendants' violations of the FTC Act, including but not limited to, rescission of contracts, the refund of monies paid, and the disgorgement of ill-gotten gains; and
- 4. Award plaintiff the costs of bringing this action, as well as such other and additional relief as the Court may determine to be just and proper.

17 Respectfully submitted,

DEBRA A. VALENTINE 18 General Counsel

19 20

ANN F. WEINTRAUB 21

THOMAS A. COHN Attorneys for Plaintiff

Federal Trade Commission

22 Northeast Region

1 Bowling Green, Suite 318

New York, NY 10004

Telephone: (212) 607-2815 24

Facsimile: (212) 607-2822

JOHN JACOBS

CA Bar No. 134154

Local Counsel for Plaintiff

Federal Trade Commission

Western Region

10877 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 700

Los Angeles, CA 90024

Telephone: (310) 824-4360

Facsimile: (310) 824-4380

26

25

23

27

28